


# MO8004 HT19

Answer Count: 3

## 1. Generally for the whole course


**I am generally satisfied with the course.**

<b>I am generally satisfied with the course.</b>	<b>Number of Responses</b>
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (33.3%)
5 Completely	2 (66.7%)
Total	3 (100.0%)




**The course content was relevant for achieving the learning outcomes**

<b>The course content was relevant for achieving the learning outcomes</b>	<b>Number of Responses</b>
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (33.3%)
5 Completely	2 (66.7%)
Total	3 (100.0%)




## The teaching provided good opportunities for achieving the learning outcomes

The teaching provided good opportunities for achieving the learning outcomes	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (33.3%)
5 Completely	2 (66.7%)
Total	3 (100.0%)



## The examination tested how well I had achieved the learning outcomes.

The examination tested how well I had achieved the learning outcomes.	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5 Completely	2 (100.0%)
Total	2 (100.0%)



## On average, I have spent the following number of hours per week on the course, including self-study

40-50h
3-4
40 - 45

## 2. What was the best aspect of the course?

### What was the best aspect of the course?

Being able to link the complicated theoretical equations with numerical solutions. So gaining a better understanding, and different perspective, of the contents in the GFD course.

Also getting detailed feedback on the lab reports so that you can improve, that is not something you get in most courses.

Learning how exactly the equations we already know are implemented in the code

Small learning groups

Learning to manage your time so the report is done after one week

## 3. What improvements would you suggest?

### What improvements would you suggest?

I understand it's a lot of work to correct reports, but receiving feedback from the previous report before handing in the next report. Then you can improve on the mistakes you made earlier.

I think sometimes the lecture content was not well connected with what we did in the labs. It's good too see more detailed theory but maybe be clearer on what theory is for the labs and what theory is not used in the labs.

More on equatorial Rossby waves?

## 4. Was the level of the course content adequate?

### Was the level of the course content adequate?


Yes.

The level of the lectures was adequate, yes.

Learning the physics of what was modelled was not too difficult, but the new programming language and working with such a large model was a challenge (but of course necessary to model more complex situations)

## 5. The course was well structured

	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (50.0%)
5 Completely	1 (50.0%)
Total	2 (100.0%)




### Comments

The structure was adequate (new subject every week)

## 6. The course prerequisites were sufficient to follow the course

	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5 Completely	2 (100.0%)
Total	2 (100.0%)




### Comments

Geophysical Fluid Dynamics is a good preparation for the course

## 7. The course material (literature, lecture notes, e-resources etc) helped me in my work with the students

	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (50.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5 Completely	1 (50.0%)
Total	2 (100.0%)




### Comments

As mentioned, sometimes it was hard linking the lecture notes to the labs.  
I'm also not a very big fan of Vallis.

I mostly used the lectures and the lab instructions as a reference, not so much the Vallis (the theory part of the reports had to be kept short anyways, so no need to put in information from additional literature)

## 8. I could get support when I needed it

	Number of Responses
1 Not at all	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5 Completely	2 (100.0%)
Total	2 (100.0%)



### Comments

The lab assistant was extremely helpful in helping both in and outside of lab hours. You could always ask if you got stuck on something. And also knew the course content very well so was aware of possible problems that usually arises beforehand. It was good to also get support outside the times scheduled for the laboration

## 9. Were the lectures easy to follow? Were they instructive? You are welcome to comment on specific lectures or parts of the course.

### Were the lectures easy to follow? Were they instructive? You are welcome to comment on specific lectures or parts of the course.

Yes, it was very helpful to gain a different perspective on GFD and General circulation.

They were challenging, but adequate to follow.

They were fine, it mostly covered what I already knew from GFD, so it was not difficult to follow

## 10. Were the home assignments useful?

### Were the home assignments useful?

Yes

Yes, but always a lot of work - time planning was tricky

But good to learn what is really important in scientific reports (e.g. separation of results and discussion, comparison to theory)

## 11. Other comments

### Other comments

It was nice to have detailed feedback on the reports (to learn where there is room for improvement)

I learnt that it is important to ask many questions (the other course participants and the teacher)

I got an insight into a new programming language